

GLENDALE PLANNING HEARING OFFICER
GLENDALE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
PUBLIC HEARING MINUTES
JANUARY 9, 2013

9:30 a.m. Ms. Kristen Asp, Planning Hearing Officer, opened the hearing in Room 105 of the Municipal Services Building. She outlined the requirements of Title 30 for the pertinent cases and described the provisions for appeal in Title 30 of the Glendale Municipal Code as well as the procedures for the conduct of the hearings.

Ms. Asp announced that the agenda for the meeting was posted on January 2, 2013, at the City Hall Entrance.

Staff Members Present – Community Development Department

- 1) Kristen Asp, Planning Hearing Officer
- 2) Roger Kiesel, Case Planner
- 3) Vista Ezzati, Planning Intern

(1) VARIANCE CASE NO. PVAR 1234411

LOCATION: **110 EAST MAPLE STREET**

APPLICANT: Susan Wong

ZONE: "CA" - Commercial Auto zone.

LEGAL DESCRIPTION: Lot No. 179 MB 6 and Lot No. 9 MB 27-6;
Portion of Lot No. 180 Grider and Hamiltons
Lomita Park Tract

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The Mercedes-Benz automobile dealership is completing construction of their new automobile dealership. The variance application is a request to allow the installation of a new projecting sign, which exceeds maximum size and thickness requirements and which projects further into the right-of-way than otherwise permitted.

APPLICANT'S PROPOSAL

- 1) Allow a 25 square-foot projecting sign.
- 2) Allow a projecting sign, which is 11 inches thick.
- 3) Allow a projecting sign to encroach 4.5 feet into the public right-of-way.

CODE REQUIRES

- 1) The area of a projecting sign shall not exceed six square feet.
- 2) A projecting sign shall not exceed a thickness of six inches.
- 3) A projecting sign shall not encroach into the public right-of-way more than three feet.

ENVIRONMENTAL RECOMMENDATION: Exempt.

Staff

- Mr. Roger Kiesel, case planner, presented the staff report and highlighted the main items.

Applicant

- Ms. Lisa Black, representing the applicant and the owners, presented the case.

WRITTEN PUBLIC INPUT

In Favor: None.
Interested Party: None.
In Opposition: None.

SPEAKING AT THE HEARING

In Favor: No one.
In Opposition: No one.
Interested Party: No one.

The case was taken under submission by Ms. Asp, Planning Hearing Officer, for a determination to be made at a later date.

The meeting adjourned at 10:00 a.m.

sm